UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,999	12/20/2005	Josef Wimmer	037068.53616US	1997
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 03/24/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY GRO	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,999	WIMMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

zororo uno i ming or an i appour zino.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lan Nguyen	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in continued Examinatio	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	•	n the final rejection whi	ohovenie leten In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	•	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	and anion to the plate of filling a build	وط لومسوم و ما وم النب	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further conto (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	::		,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant's request for reconsideration has been considering 124 is a mere spacer ring to fill in the void between	ered but found to be non persuasive the groove in a shaft and a bore wh	e. Applicant argues the ile Applicant's invention	nat Blaurock's ve ring 14
frictionally engage the groove in the shaft and the bore to ring 124 is performing the same task as Applicant's ring ring is for fastening. In order to fasten the shaft to the boand the bore of Blaurock. Therefore, it is deemed that the	14. In column 1, lines 8-10, Blauro ore, it is believed that rotation would	ck specifically states for the states for the possible between the possible between the states of the states for the states fo	that the spacer
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	-		
13. Other:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Xuan Lan Nguyen/ 3-17-08 Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3683

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080317